Ryedale Grant Schemes 2017/18

Project Appraisal Form

1	Type of Grant:		Community Grants						
	Ref No:		CG-006						
2	Applicant Organisati	on & Project title	Sinnington Pre School	, New Equip	ment				
3	Previous Applications	to RDC							
	Ref No Project		Successful	Amount	Date				
4	Total Cost of Project	£8737.42							
5	Grant Aid Requested	£2000	% I	ntervention	23				
6	Date site / organisation visit completed	N/A							
7	Type of scheme	Capital Reven	ue 🗌						
	Organisation								
8	Is the organisation we	I managed?							
	Yes. Staff team and	a volunteer commi	ttee						
9	Is the organisation financially sound?								
	Yes. Although I think they would benefit from streamlining expenditure								
10	Is the organisation refl	ective of the commu	nity it services?						
	Yes and well though	t of in the commun	ity						
11	Can the organisation r	nanage and deliver t	he project?						
	Yes								
12	Have the needs and aspirations of the community served been taken into account?								
	Yes								
	Project								
13	Does the project supp	ort delivery of the Co	uncils aims? (Please tick	all that apply)					
	Sustainable Growth: ☐ Promoting a strong economy with thriving businesses and supporting infrastructure ☐ Capitalising on our culture, leisure and tourism opportunities								
		vironment of Ryedal	e with partners						

	☐ Enabling provision of housing that meets existing and anticipates future need					
	Minimising homelessness, improving the standard and availability of rented accommodation and supporting people to live independently					
	Customers and Communities:					
	Supporting communities to identify their needs, plan and develop local solutions and resilience					
	Section 106 Grants:					
	☐ Does this meet with the section 106 planning agreement description for the parish?					
14a	Which ward or parish is affected?					
	Sinnington and surrounding area					
14b	Which communities of interest are affected?					
	Sinnington and surrounding area					
15	Does the project respond to a clearly defined need? How is this evidenced?					
	No I have not seen evidence that the additional equipment is necessary					
16	Does the project have community support? How is this evidenced?					
	Evidenced through the continued need for the service					
17a	Is a robust project plan in place? Staff have been asked to each identity a wish list of equipment and this has formed the project plan.					
17b	Flood grants only: Is there a community resilience plan in place?					
18	What are the objectives of the project and what impact will it deliver? The Pre School are undergoing a review of sustainability due to increased staff and venue costs. The new equipment will enhance the service.					
19	Is the project budget accurate and reasonable, with a realistic plan for securing match funding?					
	Match funding has been applied for with the support of NYCC.					
20	Is the funding package verified?					
	No					
	Long term viability and running costs					
21a	Is the project expected to continue beyond the term of any funding awarded by the council					
	YES □ NO ⊠					
21b	For capital projects is there a clear and realistic projection of income for the future maintenance of the facility – a business plan?					
	Yearly funding from NYCC					
22	Comments on the financial situation					

	Clarification is need			ient nas	airea	ay been	purcha	asca as tri	9
-	last few years costi		<u> </u>	nnical ico					
	Are there any outstanding planning or technical issues? Yes the Village Hall is undergoing development which planning has been								
	approved for but work continues.								
-	Any other outstanding concerns or issues?								
	Yes my concern is the money already spent on equipment and the amount that							at	
	has been requested seems very high.								
_	How will the project be monitored and evaluated?								
	The committee plar children on register curriculum.		•			•			
-	Do you deem this pro	oject to be	/iable and	delivera	ble?				
_	Yes but I feel the a	·			١.				
	Comments from War	d Member	consultatio	n					
_	S106 Public Open Sp Council consultation	oace and F	lood Grant	is Only: (Comme	ents from	i i diisii	7 TOWIT	
_						ents from		7 TOWIT	
_	Council consultation		essing of			ents from		7 TOWIT	
_	Recommendatio	n from ass	essing of	ficer		50%		7 TOWIT	
_	Recommendatio Award the Grant	n from ass	essing of	ficer				7 TOWIT	
_	Recommendatio Award the Grant Level of award	n from ass Yes	essing of	ficer				7 TOWIT	
_	Recommendatio Award the Grant Level of award Conditions:	n from ass Yes	essing of	ficer				7 TOWIT	
_	Recommendatio Award the Grant Level of award Conditions: a. Standard Gra	n from ass Yes	essing of	ficer				7 TOWIT	
	Recommendatio Award the Grant Level of award Conditions: a. Standard Gra b.	n from ass Yes	essing of	ficer				7 TOWIT	
	Recommendatio Award the Grant Level of award Conditions: a. Standard Gra b.	n from ass Yes	essing of	ficer No 000				7 TOWIT	
	Recommendatio Award the Grant Level of award Conditions: a. Standard Gra b. c.	n from ass Yes	essing of	ficer No 000				7 TOWIT	
	Recommendatio Award the Grant Level of award Conditions: a. Standard Gra b. c.	n from ass Yes nt Conditio Name:	essing of	No 000				7 TOWIT	